

# FRAMED FIELD EXPERIMENTS: 2021 SUMMARY ON FIELDEXPERIMENTS.COM

John A. List<sup>1</sup>  
May 2022

In 2019 I put together a summary of data from my field experiments website that pertained to framed field experiments. Several people have asked me if I have an update. In this document I update all figures and numbers to show the details for 2021. I also include the description from the 2019 paper below.

-----

Back in January of this year I provided some data on all field experiments that were published on a website that I started nearly 20 years ago. Back then, I was trying to provide a service in the spirit of what Charlie Holt did for laboratory experiments. Charlie's site compelled me to create my own bibliographical site <http://www.fieldexperiments.com>, which lists publications and discussion papers in experimental economics that make use of the "field" in some manner. The site remains quite active, with an open source that allows scholars to post their own work, and to download hundreds of field experimental papers.

In my own work I have reserved the term "field experiment" for those cases where I observed subjects in their naturally occurring environments (see, e.g., Czibor et al. (2019). I explicitly, therefore, discriminated between explorations in this environment and laboratory studies that used non-standard subject pools (see, e.g., Andersen et al. (2018) or Cappelen et al. (2022)). In a JEL paper (Harrison and List, 2004), such important differences are accounted for via qualifiers.

I followed this nomenclature on the site by placing studies into three groups: 1. Artefactual field experiments, which are the same as conventional lab experiments but with a non-standard subject pool (i.e., non-students). Running Peruvian borrowers through lab games (Karlan, 2005 AER) would be an example of an artefactual field experiment. 2. Framed field experiments, which are identical to artefactual field experiments but with field context in either the commodity, task, or information set that the subjects use. An example would be work that elicits valuations for public goods that occur naturally in the environment of the subjects (see some of Peter Bohm's work). 3. Natural field experiments, which are identical to framed field experiments except that the subjects do not know that they are participants in an experiment. An example could be

---

<sup>1</sup>Department of Economics, University of Chicago; NBER, and ANU. Thanks to Ariel Listo for pulling the data and putting the information together for this summary.


found among the recent surge in fundraising experiments (see, e.g., List and Lucking-Reiley, 2002, JPE; List, 2002; 2004).

Of course, not all studies will fit neatly into one of these three categories. I hope, however, that the categories provide a useful way to think about the factors outside of a traditional laboratory experiment that are potentially important.

Over the years, I have increasingly received questions about which papers are included on the site and their impact. Instead of sending out several emails per week answering such questions, in January I decided to put together a brief account of the studies and their impact. I focused on all field experimental studies and after making public that working paper I received several emails asking me to break down the areas even further in separate notes. This note describes one such breakdown of framed field experiments (FFE). I use RePEc's data to provide a few (incomplete) glimpses of FFE's impact.


Figure 1 summarizes all of the framed field experimental (FFE) papers published from 1990 to 2021 on my website (see, e.g., Alevy et al., 2007; 2011; Al-Ubaydli et al., 2015; 2017; 2019; 2020; 2021).. The growth that one would expect is evident, but the heterogeneity from year to year was unexpected. This gives an indication that I am only partly capturing this market on my website, and one should be cautious when interpreting much from these data.

**Figure 1: Time-Series of FFE papers published annually on [fieldexperiments.com](http://fieldexperiments.com)**




Next, I provide a rough glimpse of the FFE impact. In Figure 2, I provide a time-series of RePEc's impact factor scores from 1990-2019. The impact factor is computed as the number of citations to articles published in y-1 until y-5 divided number of articles published in y-1 until y-5 in series. Observations are annual.

**Figure 2: Time-Series of RePEc's impact factor for NFE's, which is the number of citations in to articles published in y-1 until y-5 divided number of articles published in y-1 until y-5 in series. Observations are annual for all NFEs on fieldexperiments.com**




To complement the impact factor summary, I create two “usage” summaries in Figures 3 and 4. Here, I provide a time-Series of the number of abstract views and downloads taken from RePEc. Observations are monthly for all FFEs on fieldexperiments.com. Here the data suggest a steady number of downloads, with a blip in 2020 for abstract views and in 2017 for downloads. These blips are caused by individual studies that add a large number of downloads (e.g., for a little one see Gosnell et al., 2020).

**Figure 3. Time-series of the number of abstract views from RePEc. Observations are annual for all FFEs on fieldexperiments.com.**




**Figure 4: Time-series of downloads from RePEc. Observations are annual for all FFEs on fieldexperiments.com.**




In Figure 5, I present the annual number of unique authors of FFEs. In Figure 6, I provide information on the cumulative number of unique authors of FFE papers. From the graphs, we can see that the growth of the number of authors entering the field, that started in the early 2000s, has not come to a stop yet. Both figures highlight the breadth of FFEs in the experimental literature, with near 250 different authors now having published an FFE on the site.

**Figure 5: Time-series of the unique number of authors of FFEs. Observations are annual for all FFEs on fieldexperiments.com**



**Figure 6: Time-Series of the cumulative number of unique authors for field experimental papers published for FFEs. Observations are annual for all FFEs on fieldexperiments.com.**



Finally, in the references section I provide the list of the papers that have been posted on fieldexperiments.com to answer the query which papers are published on the site (see, e.g., List, 2001; 2002; 2003; 2004; 2005; 2006; 2007).

In closing, thanks for using the site and if you should have any comments or questions please let me know.

## References

Alevy, J. E., C. E. Craig Landry, and J. List. Field Experiments on Anchoring of Economic Valuations. University of Alaska Anchorage, Department of Economics. No. 2011-02. Working Paper, 2011.

Alevy, Jonathan E., John A. List, and Wiktor L. Adamowicz. "How can behavioral economics inform nonmarket valuation? An example from the preference reversal literature." *Land Economics* 87, no. 3 (2011): 365-381.

Alevy, Jonathan E., Michael S. Haigh, and John A. List. "Information cascades: Evidence from a field experiment with financial market professionals." *The Journal of Finance* 62, no. 1 (2007): 151-180.

Al-Ubaydli Omar, John A. List, and Dana Suskind. "The science of using science: Towards an understanding of the threats to scaling experiments," *International Economic Review*, forthcoming.

Al-Ubaydli, Omar, and John A. List. "Do natural field experiments afford researchers more or less control than laboratory experiments?." *American Economic Review* 105, no. 5 (2015): 462-66.

Al-Ubaydli, Omar, and John A. List. "Field experiments in markets." In *Handbook of Economic Field Experiments*, vol. 1, pp. 271-307. North-Holland, 2017.

Al-Ubaydli, O., Lee, M. S., List, J., Mackevicius, C., & Suskind, D. "How Can Experiments Play a Greater Role in Public Policy? 12 Proposals from an Economic Model of Scaling," *Behavioural Public Policy*, forthcoming.

Al-Ubaydli, Omar, and John A. List. On the generalizability of experimental results in economics: With a response to Camerer. No. w19666. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2013.

Al-Ubaydli, Omar and John A. List, "How Natural Field Experiments Have Enhanced Our Understanding of Unemployment," *Nature and Human Behavior*, (2019), 3(1), pp. 33-39.

Al-Ubaydli, Omar, John A. List, and Dana L. Suskind. "What can we learn from experiments? Understanding the threats to the scalability of experimental results." *American Economic Review* 107, no. 5 (2017): 282-86.

Al-Ubaydli, Omar, John A. List, Danielle LoRe, and Dana Suskind. "Scaling for Economists: Lessons from the non-adherence problem in the medical literature." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 31, no. 4 (2017): 125-44.

Andersen, Steffen, Seda Ertac, Uri Gneezy, John A. List, and Sandra Maximiano. "Gender, competitiveness, and socialization at a young age: Evidence from a matrilineal and a patriarchal society." *Review of Economics and Statistics* 95, no. 4 (2013): 1438-1443.

Andersen, Steffen, Seda Ertac, Uri Gneezy, John A. List, and Sandra Maximiano. "On the cultural basis of gender differences in negotiation," *Experimental Economics*, (2018), 21(4), pp. 757-778.

Cappelen, Alexander, Bertil Tungodden, John A. List, & Anya Samek, "The Effect of Early Education on Social Preferences," *Journal of Political Economy*, 2020.

Czibor, Eszter, David Jimenez-Gomez, & John A. List, "The Dozen Things Experimental Economists Should Do (More Of)," *Southern Economic Journal*, (2019), 86(2), pp. 371-432.

Gneezy, Uri, and John A. List. "Putting behavioral economics to work: Testing for gift exchange in labor markets using field experiments." *Econometrica* 74, no. 5 (2006): 1365-1384.

Gosnell, Greer, John A. List, & Robert Metcalfe, "The Impact of Management Practices on Employee Productivity: A Field Experiment with Airline Captains," *Journal of Political Economy*, (2020), 128(4), pp. 1195-1233.

Levitt, Steven D., and John A. List. "What do laboratory experiments measuring social preferences reveal about the real world?." *Journal of Economic perspectives* 21, no. 2 (2007): 153-174.

List, John A. "Do explicit warnings eliminate the hypothetical bias in elicitation procedures? Evidence from field auctions for sports cards." *American economic review* 91, no. 5 (2001): 1498-1507.

List, John A. "Does market experience eliminate market anomalies? The case of exogenous market experience." *American Economic Review* 101, no. 3 (2011): 313-17.

List, John A. "In Vivo We Trust," *Science*, (2018), 361(6400), p. 339.

List, John A. "Field experiments: a bridge between lab and naturally occurring data." *The BE Journal of Economic Analysis & Policy* 5, no. 2 (2007).

List, John A. "Neoclassical theory versus prospect theory: Evidence from the marketplace." *Econometrica* 72, no. 2 (2004): 615-625.

List, John A. "On the interpretation of giving in dictator games." *Journal of Political economy* 115, no. 3 (2007): 482-493.

List, John A. "Preference reversals of a different kind: The "More is less" Phenomenon." *American Economic Review* 92, no. 5 (2002): 1636-1643.

List, John A. "Testing neoclassical competitive theory in multilateral decentralized markets." *Journal of Political Economy* 112, no. 5 (2004): 1131-1156.

List, John A. "The behavioralist meets the market: Measuring social preferences and reputation effects in actual transactions." *Journal of political Economy* 114, no. 1 (2006): 1-37.

List, John A. "The market for charitable giving." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 25, no. 2 (2011): 157-80.

List, John A. "The nature and extent of discrimination in the marketplace: Evidence from the field." *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 119, no. 1 (2004): 49-89.

List, John A. "Why economists should conduct field experiments and 14 tips for pulling one off." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 25, no. 3 (2011): 3-16.

List, John A. "Young, selfish and male: Field evidence of social preferences." *The Economic Journal* 114, no. 492 (2003): 121-149.

List, John A, "Experimental Economics in the *Journal of Political Economy*," *Journal of Political Economy*, (2017), 125(6): 1925-1930.

List, John A., 2020. "Non est Disputandum de Generalizability? A Glimpse into The External Validity Trial," *Artefactual Field Experiments* 00711, The Field Experiments Website.

List, John A., Review of Experimental Tests of the Endowment Effect and the Coase Theorem. In: Charness, Gary and Pingle, Mark (Eds). *The State of the Art of Experimental Economics: 20 Top Papers Reviewed*. Abingdon: Routledge, *Forthcoming*.

List, John A., Anya Samek, and Dana Suskind. "Combining Behavioral Economics and Field Experiments to Reimagine Early Childhood Education," *Behavioral Public Policy*, (2018) 2(1), pp. 1-21.

List, John A., and David Lucking-Reiley. "Demand reduction in multiunit auctions: Evidence from a sportscard field experiment." *American Economic Review* 90, no. 4 (2000): 961-972.

List, John A., and David Lucking-Reiley. "The effects of seed money and refunds on charitable giving: Experimental evidence from a university capital campaign." *Journal of political Economy* 110, no. 1 (2002): 215-233.

List, John A., and Imran Rasul. "Field experiments in labor economics." In *Handbook of labor economics*, vol. 4, pp. 103-228. Elsevier, 2011.

List, John A., and Jason F. Shogren. "Calibration of the difference between actual and hypothetical valuations in a field experiment." *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 37, no. 2 (1998): 193-205.

List, John A., Azeem M. Shaikh, and Yang Xu. Multiple hypothesis testing in experimental economics. No. w21875. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2016.

Maniadis, Zacharias, Fabio Tufano, and John A. List. "To replicate or not to replicate? Exploring reproducibility in economics through the lens of a model and a pilot study." *The Economic Journal* 127, no. 605 (2017): F209-F235.

Millimet, Daniel L., and John A. List. "A natural experiment on the 'race to the bottom' hypothesis: testing for stochastic dominance in temporal pollution trends." *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* 65, no. 4 (2003): 395-420.

Rondeau, Daniel, and John A. List. "Matching and challenge gifts to charity: evidence from laboratory and natural field experiments." *Experimental economics* 11, no. 3 (2008): 253-267.

Shogren, Jason F., John A. List, and Dermot J. Hayes. "Preference learning in consecutive experimental auctions." *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 82, no. 4 (2000): 1016-1021.

Shogren, Jason F., Michael Margolis, Cannon Koo, and John A. List. "A random nth-price auction." *Journal of economic behavior & organization* 46, no. 4 (2001): 409-421.

Shogren, Jason F., Sungwon Cho, Cannon Koo, John List, Changwon Park, Pablo Polo, and Robert Wilhelmi. "Auction mechanisms and the measurement of WTP and WTA." *Resource and Energy Economics* 23, no. 2 (2001): 97-109.

Spencer, Michael A., Stephen K. Swallow, Jason F. Shogren, and John A. List. "Rebate rules in threshold public good provision." *Journal of Public Economics* 93, no. 5-6 (2009): 798-806.

Strazicich, Mark C., and John A. List. "Are CO<sub>2</sub> emission levels converging among industrial countries?." *Environmental and Resource Economics* 24, no. 3 (2003): 263-271.